wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Mario Valadez-Mosso	Case Number: <u>09-6112M</u>	
present and		C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 12, 2009. Defendant was de by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the se.	
I find by a p	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of t	he United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crimina	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evad	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximu	um of years imprisonment.	
The at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the most the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	DIREC	Inditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correction appeal. The of the Unite	ns facility separate, to the extent practically a defendant shall be afforded a reasonal defended to reasonal defended as the states or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pure the states of the United States Marshal for the pure the states of the United States Marshal for the pure the states of the United States Marshal for the pure the states of th	y of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a country the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the rpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT I deliver a co Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT I Services su	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas ufficiently in advance of the hearing be the potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria fore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	ATED this 13 th day of March, 2	2009.	
		David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge	